## 1 STATE OF OKLAHOMA 2 2nd Session of the 54th Legislature (2014) 3 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL NO. 2837 4 By: McCall 5 6 7 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE An Act relating to patent infringement; stating 8 legislative intent; defining terms; prohibiting bad-9 faith patent infringement claims; listing factors court may consider as evidence of bad faith; listing 10 factors court may consider as evidence of valid claim; requiring bond; permitting hearing if requested by either party; setting limit for bond 11 amount; allowing court to waive bond requirement; 12 granting Attorney General certain powers and duties; providing for cause of action; specifying 1.3 jurisdiction; listing available remedies; construing provisions of act; excepting certain actions; 14 providing for codification; providing for noncodification; and providing an effective date. 15 16 17 BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA: 18 SECTION 1. NEW LAW A new section of law not to be 19 codified in the Oklahoma Statutes reads as follows: 20 The House of Representatives finds that: Α. 21 1. Oklahoma is building an entrepreneurial and knowledge-based 22 economy. Attracting and nurturing small and medium-sized information technology ("IT") and other knowledge-based companies is

- an important part of this effort and will be beneficial to Oklahoma's future;
  - 2. Patents are essential to encouraging innovation, especially in the IT and knowledge-based fields. The protections afforded by the federal patent system create an incentive to invest in research and innovation, which spurs economic growth. Patent holders have every right to enforce their patents when they are infringed, and patent enforcement litigation is necessary to protect intellectual property;
  - 3. The House of Representatives does not wish to interfere with the good-faith enforcement of patents or good-faith patent litigation. The House of Representatives also recognizes that Oklahoma is preempted from passing any law that conflicts with federal patent law;
  - 4. Patent litigation can be technical, complex, and expensive. The expense of patent litigation, which may cost hundreds of thousands of dollars or more, can be a significant burden on small and medium-sized companies. Oklahoma wishes to help its businesses avoid these costs by encouraging the most efficient resolution of patent infringement claims without conflicting with federal law;
  - 5. In order for Oklahoma companies to be able to respond promptly and efficiently to patent infringement assertions against them, it is necessary that they receive specific information regarding how their product, service, or technology may have

- infringed the patent at issue. Receiving this information at an early stage will facilitate the resolution of claims and lessen the burden of potential litigation on Oklahoma companies;
- 6. Abusive patent litigation, and especially the assertion of bad-faith infringement claims, can harm Oklahoma companies. A business that receives a letter asserting such claims faces the threat of expensive and protracted litigation and may feel that it has no choice but to settle and to pay a licensing fee, even if the claim is meritless. This is especially so for small and medium-sized companies and nonprofits that lack the resources to investigate and defend themselves against infringement claims; and
- 7. Not only do bad-faith patent infringement claims impose a significant burden on individual Oklahoma businesses, they also undermine Oklahoma's efforts to attract and nurture small and medium-sized IT and other knowledge-based companies. Funds used to avoid the threat of bad-faith litigation are no longer available to invest, produce new products, expand, or hire new workers, thereby harming Oklahoma's economy.
- B. Through this narrowly focused act, the House of
  Representatives seeks to facilitate the efficient and prompt
  resolution of patent infringement claims, protect Oklahoma
  businesses from abusive and bad-faith assertions of patent
  infringement, and build Oklahoma's economy, while at the same time

- 1 respecting federal law and being careful to not interfere with 2 legitimate patent enforcement actions.
- SECTION 2. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
  in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 120 of Title 23, unless there is
  created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

6 As used in this act:

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- 1. "Demand letter" means a letter, e-mail or other communication asserting or claiming that the target has engaged in patent infringement; and
- 2. "Target" means an individual Oklahoma resident, corporation, limited liability company, partnership, joint venture, sole proprietorship or professional corporation:
  - a. that has received a demand letter or against whom an assertion or allegation of patent infringement has been made,
  - b. that has been threatened with litigation or against whom a lawsuit has been filed alleging patent infringement, or
  - c. whose customers have received a demand letter asserting that the person's product, service, or technology has infringed a patent.
- SECTION 3. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 121 of Title 23, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

- A. A person shall not make a bad-faith assertion of patent infringement.
  - B. A court may consider the following factors as evidence that a person has made a bad-faith assertion of patent infringement:
  - 1. The demand letter does not contain the following information:
    - a. the patent number,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- b. the name and address of the patent owner or owners and assignee or assignees, if any, and
- c. factual allegations concerning the specific areas in which the target's products, services, and technology infringe the patent or are covered by the claims in the patent;
- 2. Prior to sending the demand letter, the person fails to conduct an analysis comparing the claims in the patent to the target's products, services, and technology, or such an analysis was done but does not identify specific areas in which the products, services, and technology are covered by the claims in the patent;
- 3. The demand letter lacks the information described in paragraph 1 of this subsection, the target requests the information, and the person fails to provide the information within a reasonable period of time;
- 4. The demand letter demands payment of a license fee or response within an unreasonably short period of time;

- 5. The person offers to license the patent for an amount that is not based on a reasonable estimate of the value of the license;
- 6. The claim or assertion of patent infringement is meritless, and the person knew, or should have known, that the claim or assertion is meritless;
  - 7. The claim or assertion of patent infringement is deceptive;
- 8. The person or its subsidiaries or affiliates have previously filed or threatened to file one or more lawsuits based on the same or similar claim of patent infringement and:
  - a. those threats or lawsuits lacked the information described in paragraph 1 of this subsection, or
  - b. the person attempted to enforce the claim of patent infringement in litigation and a court found the claim to be meritless; and
  - 9. Any other factor the court finds relevant.
- C. A court may consider the following factors as evidence that a person has not made a bad-faith assertion of patent infringement:
- 1. The demand letter contains the information described in paragraph 1 of subsection B of this section;
- 2. Where the demand letter lacks the information described in paragraph 1 of subsection B of this section and the target requests the information, the person provides the information within a reasonable period of time;

1.3

- 3. The person engages in a good-faith effort to establish that the target has infringed the patent and to negotiate an appropriate remedy;
- 4. The person makes a substantial investment in the use of the patent or in the production or sale of a product or item covered by the patent;
  - 5. The person is:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- a. the inventor or joint inventor of the patent or, in the case of a patent filed by and awarded to an assignee of the original inventor or joint inventor, is the original assignee, or
- b. an institution of higher education or a technology transfer organization owned or affiliated with an institution of higher education;
- 6. The person has:
  - a. demonstrated good-faith business practices in previous efforts to enforce the patent, or a substantially similar patent, or
  - b. successfully enforced the patent, or a substantially similar patent, through litigation; and
- 7. Any other factor the court finds relevant.
- SECTION 4. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 122 of Title 23, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

- A. Upon motion by a target and a finding by the court that a target has established a reasonable likelihood that a person has made a bad-faith assertion of patent infringement in violation of this act, the court shall require the person to post a bond in an amount equal to a good-faith estimate of the target's costs to litigate the claim and amounts reasonably likely to be recovered, conditioned upon payment of any amounts finally determined to be due to the target.
  - B. A hearing shall be held if either party so requests.
  - C. A bond ordered pursuant to this section shall not exceed Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00). The court may waive the bond requirement if it finds the person has available assets equal to the amount of the proposed bond or for other good cause shown.
  - SECTION 5. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 123 of Title 23, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
  - A. The Attorney General shall have the same authority under this act to make rules, conduct civil investigations, bring civil actions, and enter into assurances of discontinuance. In an action brought by the Attorney General under this act, the court may award or impose any relief available under the law.
- B. A target of conduct involving assertions of patent infringement, or a person aggrieved by a violation of this act or by

- a violation of rules adopted under this act, may bring an action in district court. A court may award the following remedies to a plaintiff who prevails in an action brought pursuant to this act:
  - 1. Equitable relief;
  - 2. Damages;

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- 3. Costs and fees, including reasonable attorney fees; and
- 4. Exemplary damages in an amount equal to Fifty Thousand

  8 Dollars (\$50,000.00) or three times the total of damages, costs, and

  9 fees, whichever is greater.
  - C. This act shall not be construed to limit rights and remedies available to the State of Oklahoma or to any person under any other law and shall not alter or restrict the Attorney General's authority under this act with regard to conduct involving assertions of patent infringement.
  - D. A demand letter or civil action that includes a claim for relief arising under 35 U.S.C., Section 271(e)(2) shall not be subject to the requirements of this act.
- 18 | SECTION 6. This act shall become effective November 1, 2014.

20 54-2-10435 EK 02/26/14

21

23

22